# Limnological Studies and Statistical Analysis of River Narmada with Special Focus on 5 nearby Ghats of Jabalpur Region, M.P. (India)

#### Deepika Saini

Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Zoology, Chamanlal Mahavidyalaya, Landhaura, Haridwar

### Abstract

Rivers are the most important source of water to the global population. The Narmada or Narbada is the fifth longest river in India and largest west flowing river of Indian peninsula. The present study was carried out for the period of two yearsi.e., October 2010 to September 2012.Water samples were collected from all the Ghats, banks as well as middle. Sample collection is done seasonally. Seasons are categorized as winter (Oct-Jan), summer (Feb -May) and Rainy (June – Sept.).Physico-chemical analysis of water is done as per standard methods of APHA and Trivedi. Physical and chemical parameters like water temperature, turbidity, conductivity, alkalinity, total hardness, chloride, nitrate, Dissolved Oxygen, phosphate and Sulphate were analyzed in the laboratory. The results indicate that the Narmada river water is unfit for drinking and other purposes too. Special attention is to be taken by the local people and Government along with NGO's in order to prevent further deteoration of this holy river.

Keywords: Narmada River, Physico-Chemical Parameters, Ghats. Introduction

The rivers, which are the lifelines of our culture and economy, are dying because of severe pollution .In India, all the 14 major rivers and their tributaries have been polluted. Nearly 70% of water is polluted due to rapid Industrialization and domestic sewage etc. The Narmada River is also contaminated with the discharge of industrial effluents and domestic sewage. The assessment of any water quality of any aquatic source is based on physico-chemical parameters. Water quality depends upon its source of history which is generally signified the terrain through which water is flowing its origin and most important the extent to which it is contaminated on its way by anthropogenic means (Gopal and Zutshi, 1998; Pandey et al., 1999, Singh and Singh, 2007).

The Narmada is the 5th largest river in India and largest west flowing river of Indian Peninsula which originated from the Mikal ranges at Amarkantak in Madhya- Pradesh at an elevation of 900 meters .It flows over a length of 1312Km before draining into the Gulf of Cambay, 50 Km west of Bharuch. The river is also known as "Life line of Madhya-Pradesh Jabalpur city is also known as Mahakoushal and is situated almost in the Centre of India(between the coordinates of 23010' Latitude to79057' Longitude with a general elevation of about 393 meters above MSL.Literature survey showed that there were certain studies by workers Soni and Salahuddin (2013),Ashraf, M.P like and Mukundan (2007). Considerable investigations of physico-chemical properties of river water are carried out in India by Singh and Gupta, 2004. Barai and Kumar,2012.

#### Aim of the Study

To observe the seasonal variations in Narmada River water by evaluating its physico-chemical parameters at five different stations on the basis of which the nature and quality of water can be analysed. On the basis of which water quality can be analysed and appropriate measures can be taken to prevent deterioration by human acts or others.

#### **Review of Literature**

Rivers are the most important source of water to global population. Narmada is a holy river and is the only river in India that flows in a right valley. E: ISSN NO.: 2349-980X

# RNI : UPBIL/2013/55327 VOL-6\* ISSUE-5\* January- 2019 Shrinkhla Ek Shodhparak Vaicharik Patrika

Similar studies are done by many researches. The water of lower Lake of Bhopal is used for drinking, irrigation and power supply but varies from one station to other. The situation is not too worst but its alarming (Ghosh et.al).Deep research is being done by Kushram, Parvati (2013), Mujumdar and Dutta (2014).Varhasiya, A.R., Pamnai, A.N., Patel N.R. (2016) also studied the physico-chemical water quality of Narmada River Gujrat.

#### Material and Methods

Water samples were taken from five stations namely Lamhetaghat, Laxminarayanghat, Gogra hat, Saraswatighat and Bhedaghat. Site-1 (S-1) Lamhetaghat is situated around 16 Km from the city headquarters. Narmada at this point is 200 m wide and depth 5-10 feet inner the bank and 40-5- feet in the middle.Site-2 (S-2) is also called as Gopalpurghat and is situated 17.5 Km away from the city headquarters.Site-3(S-3) Gograghat is a third sampling site and is also called as 'Little bloom water fall' situated at a distance of 19 Km from the city headquarters. Narmada at this station is 150Km wide and 70-75 feet in the middle. Site -4(S-4) is located 20 Km from Jabalpur city. The depth of Narmada at this bank is 25 feet in the bank and around 70-75 feet in the middle .This is slightly U shaped.Site-5(S-5) Bhedaghat in Jabalpur is one of the famous tourist place.

Major physico-chemical parameters observed and evaluated in this research period are Water temp., turbidity, conductivity, alkalinity, total hardness, chloride, nitrate, dissolved oxygen and phosphate.

#### Temperature

Temperature is the measure of the hotness of any material .It is one of the most important parameter for aquatic environment because all physical and chemical parameters are governed by the use of mercury filled thermometer up to the desired accuracy.

#### Turbidity

Turbidity of water is responsible for the light to be scattered or observed rather than a straight transmission through the sample. Turbidity was recorded by direct reading on water analysis kit .Results are presented in the form of Nephlo Metric Turbidity Unit (NTU).

#### Conductivity

Conductivity denote the capacity of a substance or a solution to conduct the electric current. Conductivity was recorded using conductivity meter in water analysis kit.

#### Alkalinity

Alkalinity of water is the capacity to neutralize strong acid and is characterized by the presence of all hydroxyl ions(OH<sup>-</sup>ions) capable of combining with the hydroxyl ions.

Total Alkalinity = ml of titrant×1000/Ml of water sample Total Hardness

It is the hardness caused due to bivalent cations such as calcium (Ca<sup>++</sup>) and magnesium present in water.

Value of total hardness were computed by using following formula:

Total hardness, (mg/l)=ml of EDTA×1000/ml. of water sample

#### Chloride

Chloride occurs naturally in all type of water. In natural water fresh water its concentration remains quite low.

The concentration of chloride in mg/l was computed as -

Chloride in mg/l= mg/l of titrant×N×35.5×1000/ml of sample

Where, N=Normality of AgNO<sub>3</sub> solution.

#### Nitrate

Nitrate represents the highest oxidized forms of oxygen. It was estimated by phenol disulphuric acid method (Trivedi, 1986).

#### Dissolved Oxygen

The D.O content shows the ability of the stream to purify itself through bio-chemical processes. The dissolved oxygen was calculated by using the following formula:

D.O IN mg/l=ml of titrant×N×8×1000/ml of sample titrated.

#### Phosphate

The phosphate comprises soluble reactive phosphate, polyphosphate and soluble and insoluble organic phosphorous. Phosphate was estimated by spectrophotometric method (Trivedi, 1986).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The result of the study has been reported in the table and graphs given below.

The temperature of the river water ranged between the lowest of  $20.47^{\circ}$  C to the highest as  $35.5^{\circ}$ C.Similar trends in temperature ranges of  $23.6^{\circ}$  C to  $46.6^{\circ}$  C was reported by Trivedi (1989) in the polluted zone of Chambal river at Nagda.

The Turbidity mean value ranges from 13.52 NTU to15.09 NTU in the first year and 13.9 NTU to 15.54 NTU in the second year.

The conductivity mean value ranges between 222.91 mhos to 253.91 mhos in the first year and 223 mhos to 254.3 mhos in the second year. The maximum value was observed in rainy season due to voluminous run off carrying diverse type of electrolytes (from the nearby as well as distant areas).Similar observations has been reported by Teheruzzaman and Kushari(1995).

The minimum to maximum range of alkalinity was recorded between 182.25 mg/l to 211.08 mg/l in the first year and 210.5 mg/l to 221.8 mg/l in the second year of investigation. Similar reports are showed by Pahwa and Mehrotra (1966) with a range of 72 mg/l to 207 mg/l in the River Ganga.

In the first year, maximumtotal hardness was recorded as 469.8 mg/l and minimum as 416.73 mg/l. whereas in the second year, the maximum range was observed to be 479.6 mg/l and minimum as 428.16 mg/l. similar ranges are reportedWagela (1982) and Rao (1979).

During the present study, Chloride ranged between 537 mg/l to 620 mg/l in the first year and 554 mg/l to 650 mg/l in the second year. Similar range has been reported by Laxminarayan (1965) and Dwivedi (1984). P: ISSN NO.: 2321-290X

# RNI : UPBIL/2013/55327 VOL-6\* ISSUE-5\* January- 2019 Shrinkhla Ek Shodhparak Vaicharik Patrika

E: ISSN NO.: 2349-980X

The range of Nitrate for the first year was reported as, minimum of 12.9 mg/l to the maximum of 24.4 mg/l, whereas in the second year, maximum range was 24.55 mg/l and minimum was 13.5 mg/l.The high values of Nitrate at the few sampling stations was due to bathing, washing cattle's and clothes and other ritual activities.

The present study reported that Dissolved Oxygen of maximum range 5.52 mg/l and minimum of 4.05 mg/l in the first year, whereas in the second year, D.O value ranged between minimum of 3.87 mg/l to the maximum of 5.27 mg/l.It has been reported that in the summer season, D.O value of rivers lowers down due to the fact that waste volume entering into the water was more or less same but volume decreases and temperature of river increases, resulting in the reduction of D.O value of rivers in the rainy season. Similar results has also been reported by Hussain (1967) and Srinivasan (1964).

In the first year, Phosphate was observed with a maximum range of 3.37 mg/l to the minimum range of 1.6 mg/l, in the second year maximum range was observed to be 3.37 mg/l and minimum as 1.23 mg/l .Nandanet.al.In 1985 have also reported high quantities of phosphate in the studies in Vishwamitra River.

#### Conclusion

Narmada, often called as the life line of Madhya-Pradesh, is one of the holy rivers of India. But, while assessing the parameters it was concluded that the water quality level of river is not up to the mark and hence is unsatisfactory for drinking and other domestic purposes. So, it is highly suggested that the State Government along with some NGO'S and local people should take some appropriate steps like "Swachh Narmada Abhiyaan" in order to prevent further deterioration of this holy river.

#### References

- 1. Ashraf, M.P and Mukundan M.M (2007). Journal of Environ Sci. and engg. 49(2):127-132.
- Barai, R Kumar Satish (2012). Evaluation of the physico-chemical characteristics of River Varuna at Varanasi, India, Journ. Of Eviron. Biol. 2012; 34:259-265.
- 3. Dwivedi, H.S. (1984).Assessment of the Chambal River pollution after the addition of treated effluent. Ph.D., thesis, School of studies in Botany, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P), India.
- 4. Ghosh Suparna, Sharma Shweta, Srinivasan Sallu (2014), Dept. Of Chem., Career Col. Bhopal Vol.119 (1):105-110.

- Gopal and Zutshi D.P.(1998). Fifty years of hydro biological research in India. Hydrobiologia. 384, 267-290.
- Hussainy, S.U.(1967):Study on the limnological and primary production of a tropical lake.Hydrobiologia.30:335-353.
- 7. Kushram,Parvati (2013),Mujumdar and Dutta,(2014) 7.International Journal of Applied Research.
- LaxmiNarayan, J.S.S (1965): Studies of phytoplankton's of the River Ganges, Varanasi. India, Part-1, physico-Chemical characteristics of River Ganga.Hydrobiologia:30:335-353.
- 9. Nandan, S.N. and Patel, R.J. (1986); Assessment of water quality of Vishwamitra River by algal analysis.Ind.J.Environ. Hlth, 29:160-161.
- Pandey A.C, Pandey A.K and Das P (1999).Fish and fisheries in relation to aquatic pollution .In: Environmental issues and resource management (Eds. Das P, Varma S.R and Gupta A.K).67-112. Nature Conservators, Muzzafarnagar.
- 11. Singh M and Singh A.K (2007).Bibliography of Environmental Studies in natural characteristics and anthropogenic influence of the Ganga River. Environmental Monitoring Assess.129, 421-432.
- Singh M. Gupta, K.C. Physico-chemical characteristics of water of River Yamuna at Mathura, Ecol. Environ. And Cons. 2004, 10(2):193-196.
- 13. Soni and Salahuddin, International Research Journal of Env. Sc. Vol.2 (6); 31-38, June (2013).
- Srinivasan, A. (1964): A limnologic studies and fish yield in three upland lakes of Madras State, India. Limnbol. And Oceanography. 9 :( 4):564-574.
- Taherussaman, Q. and Koshare, D.P (1975): Study of some physico-chemical properties of the different water bodies in Burdwan, with special reference to effluents resulting from anthropogenic activities.IJEP, 15(6):344-349.
- 16. Trivedi R.K. and Goel, P.K. (1986): Chemical and Biological methods for water pollution studies, Environ, Public, Karad, India, 250 pp.
- 17. Varhasiya, A.R., Pamnai A.N., Patel N.R., (2016), IJARIIE-ISSN (0)-2395-4396.
- Wagela, D.K. (1982): Preliminary investigation of water pollution due to industrial effluents in Ratlam area, M.Phil. Dissertation school of studies in Botany, Vikram University, Ujjjain.

P: ISSN NO.: 2321-290X

RNI: UPBIL/2013/55327

VOL-6\* ISSUE-5\* January- 2019

E: ISSN NO.: 2349-980X

#### Table: 1.1 Seasonal variation in temperature (<sup>0</sup>C) at five different sampling stations of river Narmada (Oct. 2010-Sept. 2011)

Shrinkhla Ek Shodhparak Vaicharik Patrika

										ing stations of r	-					1
Sampling Stations	Oct. 2010	Nov. 2010	Dec. 2010	Jan 2011	Winter Mean Value	Feb. 2011	Mar. 2011				Jun. 2011	July 2011	Aug. 2011			Annual Mean Value
Lamhetghat	24.3	21.6	18.5	18.3	20.6	23.2	31.4	41.4	42.	2 34.5	39.1	28.7	26.4	29.2	30.85	28.65
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	24.1	22.7	18.1	18.3	20.8	23.2	33.9	41.6	6 42.	2 35.2	38.8	27.9	28.1	30.3	3 31.27	29.09
Gograghat	23.9	23.6	18.5	18.1	21.0	22.7	34.1	41.2	42.	2 35.05	39.2	27.6	27.1	30.3	31.05	29.03
Saraswati-ghat	24.9	24.8	18.2	18.7	21.6	23.3	34.5	40.9	41.	7 35.1	39.4	27.9	27.2	31.0	31.37	29.35
Bhedaghat	25.4	23.9	18.1	18.6	21.5	22.9	34.0				39.0	28.4	26.9			29.25
	Table	: 1.2 Se	asonal v	variatior	n in temperatu	ire ( <sup>⁰</sup> C) a	nt five c	lifferen	t sampl	ing stations of r	iver Nar	mada (O	Oct. 20	11-Sep	t. 2012)	
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter Mea				or. M	ay Summer	Jun.	July	Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2011	2011	2011	2012		2012				12 Mean Value		2012	2012	2012	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	23.9	22.4	17.4	18.2	20.47	23.1				.2 34.32	39.0	27.6	27.4	29.9	30.9	28.5
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	24.1	22.1	18.4	18.3	20.72	23.2	2 34.	4 41	.6 42	.8 35.5	39.1	28.7	26.8	31.6	31.5	29.2
Gograghat	23.6	22.1	18.0	18.3	20.5	24.0				.0 35.35	39.51	28.0	27.1	30.8	31.35	29.06
Saraswati-ghat	24.8	23.1	18.5	18.9	21.32	23.9	34.	1 40	.8 42	.2 35.25	39.7	28.3	26.9	30.2	31.27	29.28
Bhedaghat	24.6	22.2	18.1	17.9	20.7	23.5	5 34.	2 41	.6 4′	.8 35.2	39.2	28.3	26.8	29.4	30.92	28.94
Table: 2.1Seasonal Variation in Turbidity (NTU) at Five Different Sampling Stations Of River Narmada (Oct. 2010-Sept. 2011)																
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter Mea		Mar			/ Summer	Jun.	July	Aug	j. Sep	o. Rainy Mear	Annual Mean
	2010	2010	2010	2011	Value	2011	2011	2011	1 201	1 Mean Value	2011	2011	201	1 201	1 Value	Value
Lamhetghat	15.2	14.5	14.0	14.2	14.47	12.2	12.1	12.3	3 11.	5 12.02	15.5	19.0	19.1	1 18.2	2 17.95	14.81
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	15.4	15.6	14.3	14.3	14.9	12.2	12.1	12.3	3 13.0	) 12.4	15.4	19.2	19.4		9 17.97	15.09
Gograghat	12.9	13.5	12.8	12.4		12.1	11.6		3 11.9		14.4	16.2	16.3		6 15.87	13.54
Saraswati-ghat	15.8	14.2	14.4	15.5	14.97	12.6	12.2				14.6	19.9	19.0		3 17.95	15.02
Bhedaghat	13.2	13.8	13.3	11.9	13.05	12.3	11.6				14.2	16.3	16.0			13.52
					· ·			ifferent	Sampli	ng Stations of R	iver Na	rmada (	Oct. 20		ot. 2012)	
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May		Jun.	July	Aug.			
	2011	2011	2011		Mean Value	2012	2012	2012			2012	2012	2012			Mean Value
Lamhetghat	13.9	13.5	13.5	13.1	13.5	15.5	15.2	15.0			16.1	17.3	17.6			15.22
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	14.0	13.6	13.5	13.4	13.62	15.9	15.2	15.6			16.1	18.3	17.9			15.54
Gograghat	13.5	13.1	12.9	12.6	13.02	12.9	12.6	13.1	13.3		14.91	16.1	17.0			14.08
Saraswati-ghat	14.3	13.9	13.3	13.1	13.65	15.0	15.5	15.2			15.1	18.0	18.0			15.35
Bhedaghat	13.2	13.3	12.7	12.4	12.9	13.3	13.2	12.4			15.6	16.0	16.1	16.1		13.90
	<u> Fable: 3.</u>	1 Seaso	nal Vari	iation In	Conductivity	(mhos)	at Five	Differe		pling Stations o	f River I	Varmad	a (Oct.			
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.		Winter Mean	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.		Summer Mean	Jun.				Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2010	2010		2011	Value	2011	2011		2011	Value	2011			2011	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	349	241	221	170	245.5	180	182	163	202	181.75	258	252	260	282	263	230
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	374	278	264	226	285.5	183	183	179	227	193	270	283	285	295	283.25	253.91
Gograghat	360	244	228	173	251.25	180	169	177	206	183	246	245	261	270	255.5	229.91

P: ISSN NO.: 2321	-290X			JPBIL/20								5* January- 201						
E: ISSN NO.: 2349	-980X		Sh	rinkł	nla E	Ek Sho	odh	para	k Va	aicł	har	ik Patri	ka					
Saraswati-ghat	371	258	249	212	2	72.5	182	192	181	220		193.75	278	275	276	291	280	248.75
Bhedaghat	375	254	270	211	2	77.5	180	176	182	266		191	268	271	270	289	274.5	247.66
•	Table: 3	3.2 Sea	sonal v	ariation	in co	nductivity	(mhos	) at five	differe	ent sa	mplir	ng stations of	river N	armada	(Oct. 2	011-Se	pt. 2012)	
Sampling Stations	Oct. 2011	Nov. 2011	Dec. 2011	Jan 2012	N	linter	Feb. 2012	Mar. 2012	Apr. 2012	May 2012	y	Summer Mean Value	Jun. 2012	July 2012	Aug. 2012	Sep. 2012	Rainy Mean Value	Annual Mean Value
Lamhetghat	335	211	200	186		233	170	191	192	200	)	188.25	201	246	250	294	247.75	223
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	380	268	259	200	2	76.75	190	208	210	230	)	209.5	249	260	276	306	272.75	253
Gograghat	336	204	204	189	2	33.25	180	192	192	204	1	192	203	240	248	290	245.25	223.5
Saraswati-ghat	380	241	237	192	2	62.5	193	212	218	238	3	215.25	242	261	282	310	273.75	250.5
Bhedaghat	384	265	267	191	2	76.75	192	215	215	223	3	213.75	248	260	273	311	273	254.3
•	Tab	le: 4.1	Season	al variat	ion in	Alkalinity	(mg/l)	at five c	lifferer	nt sam	npling	g stations of r	river Na	rmada	Oct. 20	10-Sep	t. 2011)	
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov	/. Dec	. Jan	Wii	nter Mean	Feb.	Mar.	Apr		lay	Summer	Jun.	July	Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2010	201	0 201	0 2011	1	Value	2011	2011	201		011	Mean Value	2011	2011	2011	2011	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	162	164	172	169		166.75	261	266	277	7 2	80	271	170	177	176	180	175.75	204.5
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	169	172				172.25	261	274	285		274	273.5	175	196	193	186	187.5	211.08
Gograghat	165	169	) 171	175		170	260	265	282	2 2	74	270.25	170	186	184	182	180.5	206.9
Saraswati-ghat	161	168	3 168	3 173		167.5	261	264	280	) 2	271	269	169	187	184	180	180	205.5
Bhedaghat	164	162	2 164	169		164.75	261	264	269	) 2	66	206.25	172	183	172	176	175.75	182.25
	Tab	le: 4.2	Season	al variat	ion in	Alkalinity	(mg/l)	at five c	lifferer	nt sam	npling	g stations of r	iver Na	rmada (	Oct. 20	11-Sep	t. 2012)	I I
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov			Win	ter Mean	Feb.	Mar		or. I	May	Summer	Jun.	July	Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2011				2	/alue	2012	2012				Mean Value	2012	2012	2012	2012	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	160	172				171	269	279	28		274	275.5	195	189	186	180	187.5	211.3
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	157	173				68.75	269	282			273	274.51	192	191	186	184	188.25	210.5
Gograghat	182	179				184.5	271	279			288	279.75	210	201	200	194	201.25	221.8
Saraswati-ghat	166	168				69.75	269	271	27		281	273.5	200	195	196	188	194.75	212.6
Bhedaghat	181	169				176.5	275	282			280	280.75	206	200	194	192	198	218.25
		5.1Sea									_	ling stations o					ept. 2011)	
Sampling Station		Oct. 2010	Nov. 2010	Dec. 2010	Jan 2011	Winter N Value			Mar. 2011	Apr. 2011	Ma 201						Rainy Mean Value	Annual Mean Value
Lamhetghat		378	378	395	367	379.5			430	415	42		49				523.5	442.08
Laxmi Narayan Ghat		389	380	400	392	390.2			457	466	47		53				558.2	469.8
Gograghat		356	369	384	371	370		379	374	426	44	5 406	47	5 493	540	481	497.2	424.4
Saraswati-ghat		396	365	394	370	381.2	2	412	433	456	46	440.5	49			486	511	444.2
Bhedaghat		339	349	371	352	352.7	7	370	381	422	43	3 401	47	5 497	533	481	496.5	416.73
-	Table:	5.2 Sea	sonal v	ariation	in Tot	al Hardne	ss (mg	/I) at fiv	e diffe	rent s	ampl	ling stations of	of river	Narmad	la (Oct.	2011-S	ept. 2012)	
Sampling Station	s C	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter Me			ar. A	pr. M	May	Summer	Jun.	July	Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
-					2012	Value	20					Mean Value	2012	2012	2012	2012	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	3	379	394	413	396	395.5	45	0 43	32 4	54 4	466	450.5	530	541	560	499	532.5	459.5

P: ISSN NO.: 2321-290	х		II : UPBI							-5* January- 2							
E: ISSN NO.: 2349-980	X	S	hrin	khla	Ek Sho	dhp	arak	Vai	cha	rik Patr	ika						
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	389	404	445	5 409	9 411.7	466	445	486	495	473	550	55	8	574	535	554.2	479.6
Gograghat	366	374	403	399	385.5	406	424	444	462	434	516	51	3	525	506	515	444.8
Saraswati-ghat	374	389	420	) 398	3 395.5	420	414	440	446	430	520	52	2	539	508	522.2	449.13
Bhedaghat	316	366	394	369	372.5	402	412	440	446	425	488	50	0	510	490	487	428.16
T	able:6.	I Seasc	nal var	iation i	n Chloride (m	g/l) at fi	ve diffe	rent sa	mpling	stations of r	iver Na	rmada	(Óc	t. 2010	0-Sept.	2011)	
Sampling Stations	Oct. 2010	Nov. 2010	Dec. 2010	Jan 2011	Winter Mear Value	n Feb. 2011							ıly 11	Aug. 2011	Sep. 2011	Rainy Mean Value	Annual Mean Value
Lamhetghat	536	540	552	550	544.5	557	572				56		72	591	579	577	564.25
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	583	574	583	593	583.25	572					60		08	628	642	620	597.33
Gograghat	556	540	558	562	554	575					59	-	96	595	603	601	578
Saraswati-ghat	532	539	548	546	541.25	560					57		76	595	583	581	566
v																	
Bhedaghat 526 532 551 539 537 555 571 590 568 571 564 570 589 577 575 561   Table:6.2 Seasonal variation in Chloride (mg/l) at five different sampling stations of river Narmada (Oct. 2011-Sept. 2012)															001		
Sampling Stations	Oct				an Winter						1		ulv	Aug.	Sep.		Annual Mean
oumphing oraciono	201		-		12 Mean Val								)12	2012	2012		Value
Lamhetghat	574				64 569	58					5		34	600	581	600	584
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	611	61	5 60	0 6	01 606.75	58		1 59	5 59	9 593	5	38 6	87	651	632	652	617
Gograghat	603	60	7 58	95	93 598	57	8 58	1 58	9 59	3 587	6	06 6	55	619	600	620	601
Saraswati-ghat	574	57	9 56	62 5	65 570	58	1 58	2 58	6 59	0 584	5	92 6	36	602	586	606	586
Bhedaghat	559	56	2 54	4 5	52 554.22	57	5 578	8 58	2 58	6 580	5	31 6	30	594	575	595	576
	Table:7	.1Seas	onal va	riation	in Nitrate (mg	l) at fiv	e differe	ent san	npling	stations of riv	ver Nar	mada	Oct.	2010	Sept. 2	011)	•
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.		Winter Mean	Feb.	Mar.	Apr	. Ma					Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2010	2010	2010	2011	Value	2011	2011	201	1 201					2011	2011	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	18.0	18.2	19.5	19.5	18.8	22.5	23.5	25.5			26.			19.7	15.0	20.3	21.16
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	18.4	18.7	19.3	19.5	18.9	22.4	23.2	25.5			26.			20.1	15.3	20.52	21.23
Gograghat	11.7	12.2	15.3	14.7	13.4	15.3	15.7	15.9			17.			13.3	11.6	14.2	14.53
Saraswati-ghat	18.0	18.2	19.1	19.3	18.6	19.3	20.0	21.3			20.			14.3	14.1	16.1	18.4
Bhedaghat	11.1	11.6	14.4	14.5	12.9	15.2	15.6	15.5			17.			13.6	11.9	14.4	14.3
					in Nitrate (mg												
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Summer	Jun.	July			Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2011	2011	2011		Mean Value		2012	2012		Mean Value	2012	2012			2012	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	18.6	18.8	18.5	18.6		22	22.9	26.0	26.0	24.22	26.2	22.0		0.0	19.1	21.82	21.54
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	18.9	19.3	19.6	19.5		23	23.1	25.9	26.2	24.55	26.1	21.4		0.31	19.3	21.77	21.87
Gograghat	12.2	12.0	16.3	15.9		16.1	16.9	17.0	17.3	16.82	16.5	15.3			14.6	15.3	15.40
Saraswati-ghat	18.3 11.5	18.4 11.4	19.3 15.5	19.2 15.6		19.6 16.3	20.9 16.5	21.3 16.2	21.5 71.1	15.82 16.52	20.0 17.0	<u>17.4</u> 15.4		6.5 5.0	15.5 19.2	17.35 16.65	17.32 15.55
Bhedaghat Table					solved Oxyge												15.55
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter Mean	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Summer	Jun		ily	Aug.	1	· · · · ·	Annual Mean
Sampling Stations	000	1101.	Dec.	Jan	wither mean	ieb.	mai.	~pi.	may	ounnel	Juli	50	i y	Aug.	oep.	Ranny mean	

#### P: ISSN NO.: 2321-290X

# RNI: UPBIL/2013/55327 Shrinkhla Ek Shodhparak Vaicharik Patrika

VOL-6\* ISSUE-5\* January- 2019

E: ISSN NO.: 2349-980X

	2010	2010	2010	2011	Value	20	11 20	11 201	1 201	1 Mean Val	ue	2011	2011	2011	2011	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	4.7	5.7	4.8	4.6	4.95	4.	5 4	.7 4.	1 4.2	4.37		3.8	4.9	5.2	5.5	4.85	4.60
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.25	4.	2 4	.5 4.3	3 4.2	2 4.3		3.6	4.9	4.4	5.2	4.52	4.35
Gograghat	4.0	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.22	4.	1 4	.0 4.3	2 4.′	4.1		4.0	4.6	5.1	5.3	4.75	4.35
Saraswati-ghat	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.4	4.2	3.	8 3	.9 4.2	2 4.3	4.05		3.6	5.4	5.4	6.0	5.1	4.45
Bhedaghat	4.9	4.6	5.2	4.9	4.9	5.	3 4	.9 5.	1 4.8	3 5.02		3.9	5.8	5.9	6.5	5.52	5.14
Table:8.2 Seasonal variation in Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) at five different sampling stations of river Narmada (Oct. 2011-Sept. 2012)																	
Sampling Stations	Oc		v. Dec	. Jan	Winter Mea		b. Ma	ar. Ap				Jun.	July	Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	201	1 201	1 201 <sup>-</sup>	1 2012	Value	20	2 20	12 20 <sup>-</sup>	12 20		lue	2012	2012	2012	2012	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	4.	-			3.92	4.	-	-	-	-		3.1	5.4	5.3	5.4	4.8	4.38
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	3.	9 4.0	) 4.1	3.7	3.92	4.	1 4.	0 4.	1 4.	1 4.07		3.2	5.4	5.2	5.7	4.87	4.28
Gograghat	4.	2 4.2	2 4.4	3.9	4.1	3.	9 4.	2 4.	0 4.	1 4.05		3.6	5.4	5.2	5.8	5.0	4.38
Saraswati-ghat	4.	0 4.4	4 3.6	3.5	3.87	4.0	94 4.	0 3.	9 4.	2 4.02		3.2	5.1	5.6	5.0	4.72	4.20
Bhedaghat	4.		-	_	4.65	5.			-			4.2	5.56	5.9	5.4	5.27	4.98
Table:9.1 Seasonal variation in Phosphate (mg/l) at five different sampling stations of river Narmada (Oct. 2010-Sept. 2011)																	
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan	Winter	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Summer Me	ean		July	Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2010	2010	2010	2011	Mean Value	2011	2011	2011	2011	Value		2011	2011	2011	2011	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	1.14	1.16	1.19	1.14	1.6	2.99	3.11	3.26	3.58	3.23		1.77	1.8	1.84	1.87	1.82	2.07
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	1.8	1.21	1.19	1.26	1.21	3.21	3.28	3.44	3.52	3.37		1.91	1.15	1.25	1.33	1.41	2.0
Gograghat	1.22	1.26	1.22	1.29	1.24	3.09	3.13	3.31	3.36	3.22		1.85	1.89	1.29	1.26	1.57	2.01
Saraswati-ghat	1.24	1.23	1.20	1.25	1.23	3.11	3.18	3.32	3.33	3.24		1.80	1.81	1.92	1.8	1.84	2.10
Bhedaghat	1.18	1.24	1.26	1.27	1.24	2.99	3.04	3.14	3.40	3.14		1.60	1.61	1.80	1.89	1.72	2.03
					) at five diffe						<u> </u>						
Sampling Stations	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan		Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Summer	-			Aug.	Sep.	Rainy Mean	Annual Mean
	2011	-	-	-		2012	2012	2012	2012	Mean Value			-	2012	2012	Value	Value
Lamhetghat	1.20	1.33	1.34	1.04		3.15	3.24	3.35	3.22	3.24		-	-	1.40	1.63	1.57	2.01
Laxmi Narayan Ghat	1.36	1.58	1.21	1.10		3.31	3.32	3.50	3.21	3.33				1.92	1.81	1.77	2.14
Gograghat	1.15	1.51	1.32	1.15		3.10	3.37	3.37	3.33	3.29				1.36	1.42	1.42	1.99
Saraswati-ghat	1.8	1.32	1.40	1.52		3.21	3.27	3.41	3.30	3.68				1.92	1.87	1.85	2.34
Bhedaghat	1.65	1.88	1.76	1.87	1.79	3.15	3.0	3.11	3.12	3.09	1	.87	1.91	1.34	1.62	1.68	2.18

19. .